

DMS Notice QC - 10 - 6

July 26, 2010 Discard: Retain

TO WEIGHTS AND MEASURES OFFICIALS

SUBJECT: Sears, Roebuck, and Company, and Kmart Corporation Settlement

Attached is a final judgment and permanent injunction pursuant to stipulation issued by the District Attorney's Office of Marin County, in conjunction with the District Attorney's Offices of Alameda, Los Angeles, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, and Sonoma Counties filed against Sears, Roebuck, and Company and Kmart Corporation on July 19, 2010 for improper computation of value, pursuant to California Business and Professions Codes 12024.2.

We value greatly the fine work done on behalf of the people, by the prosecution team representing the various District Attorney's Offices, as well as the State and county investigators that pursued these violations. Sears, Roebuck, and Company and Kmart Corporation were assessed civil penalties of \$900,000.00, investigative cost recovery of \$100,520.16, and cy pres relief of \$100,000.00 for a total of \$1,100,520.16.

Marin County should be sure to report these penalties in the County Monthly Report (CMR). All participating counties should separately record their individual investigative cost reimbursements in the appropriate columns in the report.

Sincerely,

Edmund E. Williams

Director

Cc PQV Special Investigators





JUL 1 9 2010

KIM TURNER Court Executive Officer MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT By: C. Lucchesi, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF MARIN

Plaintiff,

10

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

NO. CLV 100 3725

FINAL JUDGMENT AND
PERMANENT INJUNCTION
PURSUANT TO STIPULATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SEARS, ROEBUCK, AND CO., a New York Corporation, and KMART

15 CORPORATION, a Michigan Corporation.

16 Defendants.

Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, appearing by and through its attorneys, Edward S. Berberian, District Attorney for the County of Marin, by Robert E. Nichols, Deputy District Attorney; Nancy E. O'Malley, District Attorney for the County of Alameda, by Scott D. Patton, Deputy District Attorney; Steve Cooley, District Attorney for the County of Los Angeles, by Stuart C. Lytton, Deputy District Attorney; Dolores Carr, District Attorney for the County of Santa Clara, by Matthew S. Harris, Deputy District Attorney; Bob Lee, District Attorney for the County of Santa Cruz, by William Atkinson, Assistant District

Attorney; Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney for the County

of Sonoma, by Matthew T. Cheever, Deputy District Attorney; and,

defendants Sears, Roebuck, and Co., a New York Corporation, and

Kmart Corporation, a Michigan Corporation (collectively

"Defendants") appearing through their attorney, Scott D. Feinstein,

Esquire, Sears Holdings Management Corporation Associate General

Counsel; and,

Plaintiff and Defendants having stipulated to the entry of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction prior to the taking of any proof and without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law; and the Court having considered the pleadings;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This action is brought pursuant to California law and this Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter hereof and the parties hereunto.

APPLICABILITY

2. The provisions of the Final Judgment are applicable to Defendants Sears, Roebuck, and Co., and Kmart Corporation, and to their officers, directors, representatives, successors, assigns, and to any and all persons, partnerships, corporations, or other entities acting under, by, through, on behalf of, or in concert with Defendants, with actual or constructive notice of this Final Judgment, in connection with the operation of Sears, Roebuck, and Co. stores, and Kmart Corporation stores (collectively, "enjoined persons"). All obligations imposed upon Defendants by the terms of this Final Judgment are ordered pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 17203 and 17535.

27 //

28 //

- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 1.0
- 11
- 12
- 1.3
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 1.7
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- For purposes of this Final Judgment, the following 3. definitions shall apply:
- "Store" means a retail store located in California that bears the Sears or Kmart name and is operated by an affiliate of Sears Holdings Management Corporation.
- "Corporate Price Accuracy Compliance Lead" b. shall mean the individuals appointed to oversee price accuracy for the stores. One individual may be appointed to oversee price accuracy for both Sears and Kmart stores. Alternatively, one individual may be appointed to oversee price accuracy for Sears stores and another individual may be appointed to oversee price accuracy for Kmart stores.
- "Department Price Accuracy Compliance Lead" c. shall mean the assistant store manager (or other appointed employee) within each department of each store.
- "Advertised Price" shall mean the price that is d. posted or displayed on the item itself or on a shelf tag that corresponds to the item or the price for an item published in a newspaper, magazine, or direct mail publication. Nothing herein will be construed to mean that a consumer will be eligible for an advertised price if he or she fails to qualify under the particular terms disclosed in conjunction with the advertised price so long as said terms are clearly and conspicuously disclosed.
- "POS Price" shall mean the price of an item when it is electronically scanned at the Point-of-Sale terminal to determine the identity of the item and the price to be charged for the item.

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

1.9

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

- "Pricing Discrepancy" shall mean a variance f. between the advertised price and the POS price, but shall not include (1) situations where the variances between the advertised price and the POS price is consistent with a clear and conspicuous price correction notice; (2) any variance between an advertised price and the POS price when the merchandise has been correctly stocked but inadvertently moved, transferred, or transported to the wrong rack, shelf, display, or fixture by a customer; or (3) any variance which results in a customer being charged a price lower than the advertised price.
- "Report of Pricing Discrepancy" shall mean a report of a pricing discrepancy by any consumer, any employee, or any agent of a governmental agency, or any employee of a store.
- "Corporate CRV Compliance Lead" shall mean the h. individual appointed to oversee California Redemption Value ("CRV") accuracy for Kmart stores.
- "CRV Error" shall mean a variance between the CRV i. value that should be assessed on an item pursuant to California CRV Regulations and the CRV value assessed on that item by Kmart's point-of-sale terminal system.
- "Report of CRV Error" shall mean a report of a CRV j. error by any consumer, any employee, or any agent of a government agency, or any employee of a Kmart store.
- "Pricing Accuracy Compliance Personnel" shall mean k. all corporation price accuracy compliance leads, all store managers, and all department price accuracy compliance leads.
- "Weights and Measures Official" shall mean (i) any l. representative of the State of California Division of Measurement

1.2

1.4

Standards, (ii) any California County Sealer, (iii) any Director of a California County Department of Agriculture, (iv) the California Attorney General, or (v) any California District or City Attorney.

INJUNCTION

- 4. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 17203 and 17535, enjoined persons, and each of them, are permanently enjoined and restrained from directly or indirectly engaging in any of the following acts or practices:
- value which is more than the price which is advertised, posted, marked, displayed, or quoted, in violation of Business and Professions Code Section 12024.2. Nothing herein will preclude Sears from requiring that the customer present the applicable advertisement or coupon at the point-of-sale as a condition of obtaining the advertised price. However, any presentment requirement must be clearly and conspicuously disclosed in the advertisement and/or coupon; and
- b. Making or causing to be made any false or misleading statement to the public with respect to the price of items offered for sale.

COMPLIANCE PROGRAM

5. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section
17203 and 17535, Defendants are hereby enjoined and restrained for
a period of three years from the date of the entry of this Final
Judgment from directly or indirectly using any type of scanning
systems at an existing or future California Sears or Kmart store
unless Defendants maintain in every existing Sears and Kmart store
in California and in every new Sears or Kmart store to be opened in

California during the three-year period, a program to en	nance
pricing accuracy and correct pricing errors. This progr	am shall
include:	
D 5 1	- 1 7 7

1.

1.8

a. Policies and Procedures: Defendants shall implement written price accuracy policies and procedures which shall be reasonably accessible to all store personnel via an online format such as an Internet site and/or in an offline format, such as a binder.

b. Appointment of Pricing Personnel:

Defendants shall appoint a Corporate Price Accuracy Lead, who shall be responsible for overseeing with respect to the stores, (1) the maintenance of accurate prices and point-of-sale systems, (2) implementation of price changes, and (3) prompt reporting, research, and resolution of price discrepancies. The Corporate Price Accuracy Compliance Lead may designate duties to other employees, appoint backups, or retain third-party providers as he or she deems reasonable and appropriate to accomplish his or her duties;

Defendants shall appoint a Department Price Accuracy Compliance
Lead, who shall oversee price accuracy in the department of the
store where he or she is employed, including correction of signage
errors. The Department Price Accuracy Compliance Lead may delegate
duties to other employees or appoint backups as he or she deems
reasonable and appropriate to accomplish his or her duties;

C. In-Store Audits By Store Personnel:

i. Random price check audits shall be

conducted by store employees no less than once per calendar week. Each audit shall include no less than 50 items across different product categories;

ii. If any pricing discrepancy is revealed, store employees shall take necessary steps promptly to correct the pricing discrepancy. At the completion of each audit, store employees shall inform the relevant Department Price Accuracy Compliance Lead of any pricing discrepancy identified during the audit;

iii. The Department Price Accuracy Lead shall confirm that any pricing discrepancies identified during a weekly audit have been addressed in the store, and shall advise the store manager of any pricing discrepancy. The store manager shall review such referrals by Department Price Accuracy Compliance Leads and shall advise the Corporate Price Accuracy Compliance Lead via electronic mail or other traceable communications mechanism when a pricing discrepancy appears to have been caused by an error which extends beyond a single store;

iv. Store employees shall record the results of each weekly audit electronically or otherwise. Such records shall include (1) the date of the audit, (2) the name of the person who completed the audit, (3) the number of items audited, and (4) a list of pricing discrepancies identified, if any.

d. In-Store Audits by Third Parties:

i. Each store shall be subject to a random price check audit conducted by an independent, third-party auditor no less than once per calendar year. Each audit shall include no less than 100 (one hundred) items across different product

categories.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

If any pricing discrepancy is revealed, ii. store employees shall take necessary steps promptly to correct the pricing discrepancy and to inform the relevant Department Price Accuracy Compliance Lead.

iii. The Department Price Accuracy Compliance Lead shall confirm that any pricing discrepancies identified during a third-party audit have been addressed in the store and shall advise the store manager when such a pricing discrepancy appears to have been caused by an error which extends beyond a single store. The store manager shall review such referrals by Department Price Accuracy Compliance Leads and shall advise the Corporate Price Accuracy Compliance Lead via electronic mail or other traceable communication mechanism when a pricing discrepancy appears to have been caused by an error which extends beyond a single store.

Defendants shall require the third-party auditor to records the results of each audit electronically or otherwise. Such records shall include (1) the date and approximately time of the audit; (2) the name of the person who completed the audit, (3) the number of items audited, and (4) a list of pricing discrepancies identified, if any.

In-Store Reports of Pricing Discrepancies: Whenever a store employee receives a report of pricing discrepancy the employee shall promptly investigate whether there's an error or request the relevant Department Price Accuracy Compliance Lead to investigate the error. If the employee investigates and determines that a pricing discrepancy exists, the employee shall notify the relevant Department Price Accuracy Compliance Lead. The Department

- Corporate Price Accuracy Compliance Lead: When the Corporate Price Accuracy Compliance Lead receives a report of pricing discrepancy asserting that an error may extend beyond a single store, he or she shall promptly investigate whether a system error at the corporate level occurred. If a system error at the corporate level is identified, the Corporate Price Accuracy Compliance Lead shall ensure that the appropriate action to correct the system error begins promptly after such verification. The Corporate Price Accuracy Compliance Lead shall also endeavor in good faith to prompt interim action as necessary pending the resolution of a pricing discrepancy or system error.
- g. Training: Each store employee shall participate in pricing integrity training as appropriate for his or her position. Such training may include elements such as a step-by-step reference guide for conducting price integrity checks with a handheld unit for store sales employees and a detailed price integrity training session for store managerial employees. Price accuracy compliance personnel shall participate in additional training sessions as appropriate. The training sessions will cover topics to improve price accuracy, such as (1) price accuracy awareness; (2) instructions on how to perform price accuracy best practices and ensure that they are executed consistently; and (3) instructions on how to address price accuracy issues with

1.0

h. CRV Process: Defendants shall implement in all Kmart stores a process to upload CRV amounts to its point-of-sale

terminal system at the corporate level (rather than the store

5 level).

i. In-Store Reports of CRV Discrepancies:

Whenever a Kmart store employee receives a report of a CRV error, the employee shall promptly investigate whether there is an error or request the relevant assistant store manager to investigate the error. If the Kmart store employee investigates and determines that a CRV error exists, the employee shall notify the store manager or relevant assistant store manager. The store manager or assistant store manager shall take appropriate action to resolve the CRV error as soon as reasonably possible, including, if necessary, by notifying the Corporate CRV Compliance Lead.

Compliance Lead: When the Corporate CRV Compliance Lead receives a report of a CRV error asserting that an error may extend beyond a single store, he or she shall promptly investigate whether a system error at the corporate level occurred. If a system error at the corporate level is verified, the Corporate CRV Compliance Lead shall ensure that appropriate action to correct the system error

Reports of CRV Errors to Corporate CRV

begins promptly after such verification. The Corporate CRV

Compliance Lead shall also endeavor in good faith to make prompt

interim action, as necessary, pending the resolution of a CRV error

or a system error.

i.

k. Retention of Records: Defendants shall

establish a procedure to retain third-party audit records for at

least three years and in-store records for at least one year from the date of creation. Such records may be retained electronically rather than in paper copies and shall be made available for inspection within 30 (thirty) days from the date of request of any Weights and Measures official.

. 11

MONETARY RELIEF

6. Defendants shall pay to Plaintiff upon the signing of the Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment, as and for costs, in the sum of One Hundred Thousand, Five Hundred-Twenty Dollars and Sixteen Cents (\$100,520.16) by certified check made payable to the Marin County District Attorney and delivered to Robert E. Nichols, Deputy District Attorney, Marin County District Attorney's Office, 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130, San Rafael, California 94903-5207. Said costs shall be distributed as follows:

15	AGENCY	TOTAL COST RECOVERY
16	Dept. Measurement Standards Alameda County Sealer	\$9,606.00 \$5,236.00
17	Fresno County Sealer Lake County Sealer	\$565.51 \$65.44
18	Los Angeles County Sealer Marin County Sealer	\$26,803.30 \$2,206.20
19	Merced County Sealer Monterey County Sealer	\$1,430.00 \$597.96
20	Nevada County Sealer Riverside County Sealer	\$259.32 \$11,303.42
21	San Benito County Sealer San Bernardino County Sealer	\$1,814.07 \$5,796.00
22	San Diego County Sealer San Luis Obispo County Sealer	\$9,456.33 \$3,795.00
23	Santa Barbara County Sealer Santa Clara County Sealer	\$884.00 \$7,190.72
24	Santa Cruz County Sealer Shasta County Sealer	\$4,888.00 \$1,094.33
25	Sonoma County Sealer Stanislaus County Sealer	\$4,074.00 \$1,651.59
26	Sutter County Sealer Tulare County Sealer	\$437.97 \$230.00
27	<u>Ventura County Sealer</u>	\$1,135.00
28	TOTAL	\$100,520.16

- 7. As provided by and pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 17206 and 17536, Defendants shall pay to Plaintiff upon the signing of the Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment, as civil penalties, the sum of Nine Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$900,000.00) by certified check made payable to the Marin County District Attorney and delivered to Robert E. Nichols, Deputy District Attorney, Marin County District Attorney's Office, 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130, San Rafael, California 94903-5207. Pursuant to Government Code Section 26506, said civil penalties shall be divided equally and paid to the prosecuting agencies bringing this action.
- 8. The parties having stipulated and the Court hereby finds that it is impractical and impossible to identify or to provide direct restitution to consumers who may have unknowingly been charged an incorrect price for merchandise and that other forms of direct restitution are too impractical, costly, and would far exceed any benefit to individual consumers. Thus, Defendants shall pay restitution under the doctrine of cy pres pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 17203 and 17535 as follows:
- a. The sum of \$100,000 (one hundred thousand dollars) in the form of 100 individual Sears/Kmart gift cards for \$1,000 (one thousand dollars) each. Said gift cards shall be delivered to Robert E. Nichols Deputy District Attorney, Marin County District Attorney's Office, 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130, San Rafael, California 94903-5207, for distribution to state and local agencies charged with the enforcement of pricing accuracy, including County Departments of Weights and Measures and California Department of Measurement Standards offices throughout

1 the State of California. 2 9. Defendants shall bear their own attorneys fees and 3 costs. 4 RETENTION OF JURISDICTION AND FINALITY 5 10. The Court retains jurisdiction for the purpose of 6 enabling any party to this Final Judgment to apply to the Court at 7 any time for such further orders and directions as may be necessary 8 and appropriate for the construction or carrying out of this Final 9 Judgment; for the modification or termination of any of its 10 injunctive terms; and for the enforcement of, compliance with, and 11 punishment of violations of the Final Judgment. 12 This Stipulated Final Judgment represents the 13 complete and final settlement of all matters set for in the 14 Complaint filed contemporaneously with the Stipulated Final 15 Judgment. 16 **EFFECTIVENESS** 17 12. This Final Judgment shall take effect immediately 18 upon filing and without the filing of Notice of Entry of Final 19 Judgment. 20 Dated: 10 4 9 2010 , 21 22 VERNA ADAMS 23 JUDGE OF THE MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT, 24 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 25 26 27 28